

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF FRESNO
TRIAL COURT DELAY

TENTATIVE RULING LIST FOR DEPT. 20

JUDGE: BRAD R HILL

FOR HEARING SET ON: 06/06/00

POSTED ON: 06/02/00

0632581 - 5 PLAINTIFF: ROSAS, LUIS E
DEFENDANT: ROMERO, RAFAEL

RULING:

PLAINTIFFS ATTORNEY IS REQUIRED TO APPEAR. PLAINTIFFS ATTORNEY IS REMINDED THAT A STATUS CONFERENCE STATEMENT IS REQUIRED TO BE FILED (5) COURT DAYS PRIOR TO THE HEARING [RULE OF COURT 3.7(C)].

0635625 - 7 PLAINTIFF: WANAMAKER, JODI
DEFENDANT: CABRERA, LAUREEN

RULING:

PLAINTIFFS ATTORNEY IS REQUIRED TO APPEAR. PLAINTIFFS ATTORNEY IS REMINDED THAT A STATUS CONFERENCE STATEMENT IS REQUIRED TO BE FILED (5) COURT DAYS PRIOR TO THE HEARING [RULE OF COURT 3.7(C)].

0635677 - 8 PLAINTIFF: VASQUEZ, SULEMA
DEFENDANT: NAYLOR, DIXIE LEE

RULING:

PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY IS SANCTIONED \$100 FOR NO DECLARATION. SANCTIONS ARE DUE AND PAYABLE IN 10 DAYS TO THE FRESNO COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE. PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY IS REQUIRED TO APPEAR.

0636687 - 6 PLAINTIFF: OHANNESIAN, DORIS
DEFENDANT: PIER ONE IMPORTS

RULING:

THIS CASE IS CONTINUED TO 10/02/00 AT 9:00 A.M. IN DEPT. 20 FOR FURTHER STATUS HEARING. IF THE AT ISSUE HAS BEEN FILED OR FINAL DISPOSITION HAS BEEN ENTERED NO FURTHER APPEARANCE OR DECLARATION WILL BE REQUIRED.

0638174 - 3 PLAINTIFF: CRIPPEN, LEE
DEFENDANT: STATE OF CALIFORNIA

RULING:

THIS CASE IS CONTINUED TO 10/02/00 AT 9:00 A.M. IN DEPT. 20 FOR FURTHER STATUS HEARING. IF THE AT ISSUE HAS BEEN FILED OR FINAL DISPOSITION HAS BEEN ENTERED NO FURTHER APPEARANCE OR DECLARATION WILL BE REQUIRED.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF FRESNO
TRIAL COURT DELAY

TENTATIVE RULING LIST FOR DEPT. 20

JUDGE: BRAD R HILL

FOR HEARING SET ON: 06/06/00

POSTED ON: 06/02/00

0638180 - 0 PLAINTIFF: MEDINA, BEATRIZ
DEFENDANT: LAURIDSEN, CRAIG ALAN

RULING:

THIS CASE IS CONTINUED TO 10/02/00 AT 9:00 A.M. IN DEPT. 20 FOR FURTHER STATUS HEARING. IF THE AT ISSUE HAS BEEN FILED OR FINAL DISPOSITION HAS BEEN ENTERED NO FURTHER APPEARANCE OR DECLARATION WILL BE REQUIRED.

0638185 - 9 PLAINTIFF: GONZALEZ, IRMA
DEFENDANT: ALVARADO PALOMAREZ, JOSE SERGIO

RULING:

THIS CASE IS CONTINUED TO 09/07/00 AT 9:00 A.M. IN DEPT. 20 FOR FURTHER STATUS HEARING. IF THE AT ISSUE HAS BEEN FILED OR FINAL DISPOSITION HAS BEEN ENTERED NO FURTHER APPEARANCE OR DECLARATION WILL BE REQUIRED.

0638186 - 7 PLAINTIFF: GONZALEZ, ARTURO
DEFENDANT: EFIRD FARMS INC

RULING:

THIS CASE IS CONTINUED TO 10/02/00 AT 9:00 A.M. IN DEPT. 20 FOR FURTHER STATUS HEARING. IF THE AT ISSUE HAS BEEN FILED OR FINAL DISPOSITION HAS BEEN ENTERED NO FURTHER APPEARANCE OR DECLARATION WILL BE REQUIRED.

0638187 - 5 PLAINTIFF: VASQUES, CHERYL
DEFENDANT: ROSALES, JUANITA REYES

RULING:

THIS CASE IS CONTINUED TO 10/02/00 AT 9:00 A.M. IN DEPT. 20 FOR FURTHER STATUS HEARING. IF THE AT ISSUE HAS BEEN FILED OR FINAL DISPOSITION HAS BEEN ENTERED NO FURTHER APPEARANCE OR DECLARATION WILL BE REQUIRED.

0638189 - 1 PLAINTIFF: GORDON, JAMES A
DEFENDANT: BERGMAN, JEFF

RULING:

THIS CASE IS CONTINUED TO 10/02/00 AT 9:00 A.M. IN DEPT. 20 FOR FURTHER STATUS HEARING. IF THE AT ISSUE HAS BEEN FILED OR FINAL DISPOSITION HAS BEEN ENTERED NO FURTHER APPEARANCE OR DECLARATION WILL BE REQUIRED.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF FRESNO
TRIAL COURT DELAY

TENTATIVE RULING LIST FOR DEPT. 20

JUDGE: BRAD R HILL

FOR HEARING SET ON: 06/06/00

POSTED ON: 06/02/00

0638706 - 2 PLAINTIFF: LE, TAN
DEFENDANT: VALLEY DETROIT DIESEL

RULING:

THIS CASE IS CONTINUED TO 08/31/00 AT 9:00 A.M. IN DEPT. 20 FOR FURTHER STATUS HEARING. IF THE AT ISSUE HAS BEEN FILED OR FINAL DISPOSITION HAS BEEN ENTERED NO FURTHER APPEARANCE OR DECLARATION WILL BE REQUIRED.

0638709 - 6 PLAINTIFF: RODRIGUEZ, NOEMI
DEFENDANT: BUSH, DENA

RULING:

PLAINTIFFS ATTORNEY IS REQUIRED TO APPEAR. PLAINTIFFS ATTORNEY IS REMINDED THAT A STATUS CONFERENCE STATEMENT IS REQUIRED TO BE FILED (5) COURT DAYS PRIOR TO THE HEARING [RULE OF COURT 3.7(C)].

0635694 - 3 PLAINTIFF: VERA, MARCO ANTONIO
DEFENDANT: SAAVEDRA, MARGARITA

RULING:

IF THERE IS NO OPPOSITION BY ANY PARTY TO THE DISMISSAL OF THIS ACTION IT ITS ENTIRETY, THIS CASE WILL BE DISMISSED BY THE COURT WITHOUT PREJUDICE.